The Paradox of the Cage: Sultan Osman III and the Ottoman Empire, 1754–1757

Part I: The Sultan: A Life Defined by Confinement

I. Introduction: The Accidental Sultan

The reign of Osman III (1754–1757) is one of the most compressed and frequently overlooked periods in the 18th-century Ottoman Empire.[1] Ascending to the throne at the advanced age of 56, his rule lasted less than three years, and is often dismissed by historians as an "inconsequential" interlude [2] between the more dynamic reigns of his brother, Mahmud I (r. 1730–1754), and his cousin, Mustafa III (r. 1757–1774).[1] He was, in many respects, the accidental sultan, a man who had waited so long for a power he was never expected to wield that he was psychologically broken by the time he received it.

This analysis, however, argues that Osman III's brief tenure, far from being a mere footnote, represents a critical and deeply paradoxical moment in Ottoman history. His reign provides the ultimate case study of the dynastic system of princely confinement known as the *Kafes* (the "Cage").[1] Osman III was the system's most extreme product, having endured 51 years of imprisonment before his accession.[1] Consequently, his rule was a direct expression of this trauma: erratic, short-tempered, and marked by profound behavioral peculiarities.[5] He simultaneously displayed the reactionary conservatism of a recluse, issuing stringent social edicts against non-Muslims and even banning music from the palace.[6]

Yet, this is the central paradox: this same broken, conservative sultan presided over the completion, inauguration, and naming of the Nuruosmaniye Mosque—the empire's first and most radical statement of Ottoman Baroque architecture.[10] His reign is the story of the 18th-century "Old Regime" [13] colliding violently with the forces of cultural modernity, a collision embodied in the damaged psychology of the sultan himself.

II. The Fifty-One Year Wait: The Psychology of the Kafes

From Edirne to the "Cage"

Osman III was born on January 2, 1699, in the Edirne Palace.[1] He was the son of Sultan Mustafa II and Şehsuvar Sultan.[1] His life as a prince was violently cut short by the 1703 Edirne Incident, a Janissary revolt that deposed his father when Osman was only four years old.[1] With his father's fall, Osman's fate was sealed. He was "taken back to Istanbul and imprisoned in the Kafes".[1] He would not leave for 51 years.

The *Kafes* system itself was a 17th-century innovation, a set of opulent but secure apartments within the Topkapı Palace Harem.[4] It was instituted around 1617 to replace the "barbarous tradition" of systematic royal fratricide [4], a practice that had been codified by Mehmed II to prevent wars of succession.[14] The *Kafes* was a pragmatic solution to a dynastic problem, designed to keep *şehzades* (princes) under house arrest, where they could not "gather followers, incite rebellion, or lead a coup".[16]

The Psychological Toll

While the *Kafes* solved the problem of fratricide, it created a new one: the systematic psychological destruction of the empire's future rulers. The system produced men who, if they survived, ascended to the throne "quite old," "lacked any experience of the world," and often struggled with "severe mental health issues caused by decades of solitary confinement".[16] Many of its captives developed profound "psychological disorders" [4], the most notorious being Ibrahim I (r. 1640–48), whose madness and decadence were attributed to his 22 years in confinement.[16]

Osman III's 51-year confinement is the *longest and last confinement of a sultan* in the dynasty's history.[1] He is, therefore, the ultimate and most extreme product of this state-sanctioned psychological experiment. When he finally took the throne, the "behavioural peculiarities" [7], the "nervous by the character" [6], and the "short-tempered and meticulous" [5] nature he displayed were not inherent personal failings. They were the direct, documented, and predictable consequences of five decades of profound psychological trauma. His reign is a study of what happens when the *Kafes* system's final victim is put in charge of the empire.

A "Porous" Confinement

This 51-year trauma was, however, complex. His confinement was not one of total sensory deprivation. He was "educated in the palace" [5] and, during his 24 years as heir apparent to his brother Mahmud I, he occupied himself by "reading books on various sciences" and developing a hobby of "wood carving".[5] His isolation was also not absolute; it was a "comfortable confinement" [4] compared to a dungeon. He "made trips to the sultan inside and

outside the city" [1] and was known to "accompany his uncle, Sultan Ahmed III" on his travels.[5] This combination of profound, decades-long isolation with limited, highly controlled exposure to the outside world creates a more nuanced psychological profile. His later actions as sultan were not born of pure *ignorance* of the world, but from a deeply distorted *reaction* to it. His piety, his meticulousness [5], and his focus on a craft (wood carving) were likely coping mechanisms, methods of asserting micro-level control in a life defined by total powerlessness. Upon his accession, he attempted to project these same mechanisms onto the macro-level of the state, with chaotic results.

III. The Character of the Padishah: Piety, Paranoia, and Public Life

Osman III's 51 years in the *Kafes* directly shaped his brief reign, which was defined by a series of reactionary, controlling, and phobic personal decrees.

The Reactionary's Decrees: Music and Women

Upon his accession, Osman III immediately sought to control his environment. His "behavioural peculiarities" [7] were most evident in his relationship with the arts and the Harem. Unlike nearly all previous sultans, many of whom were accomplished musicians, poets, or patrons, Osman III "hated music and the musician".[6] One of his first acts as sultan was to "banish all musicians from the palace".[7] This was not merely symbolic; he shut down the *Enderun Meşkhanesi* (Palace Music School), an institution that had been training musicians for over a century.[9] This act was unprecedented. Turkish musicological studies identify this as "the first music ban implemented by the state" [9], setting a remarkable and long-lasting precedent for state intervention in culture that would re-emerge, for very different reasons, during the 20th-century Republican era.[9]

Even more telling was his aversion to women. It is reported that he "did not want to come a cross with the female servants".[6] He famously "wore iron healed shoes" [6], or "iron shoes" [8], for the explicit purpose of signaling his approach. The sound of his metallic heels on the palace floors warned any woman in his path "to disappear".[6]

This anecdote is a profound manifestation of his *Kafes* trauma. The Imperial Harem, a vast complex dedicated to the sultan's family, concubines, and servants [14], *was his prison*. For 51 years, the Harem was not a place of power or pleasure for him, but a constant, unavoidable symbol of the dynastic life he was forbidden from. The presence of women was inextricably linked to his confinement and powerlessness. The iron shoes are not mere eccentricity or simple misogyny; they are a phobic, post-traumatic mechanism of control. For the first time, he could *force* the world of his confinement—the Harem itself—to flee from *him*, reversing five decades of his own captivity.

The Populist's Disguise

In stark contrast to his phobic reclusiveness *inside* the palace, Osman III demonstrated a completely different persona *outside* its walls. He "would often dress as a commoner" [5], a practice he had begun during his princedom.[5] Using an alias, "Osman Ağa from Edirne" [5], he would "wander among the public" to "check in on them and gather their ideas".[5] He was known to buy things from street vendors and eat them on the spot.[5]
This reveals a critical duality in his personality and his method of rule. He was a *phobic recluse* in the palace (his former prison) but a *populist inquisitor* in the city (his new domain). This practice was born of a deep, and likely rational, distrust of the formal court and bureaucratic apparatus. Having been isolated from the state for 51 years, he had no faith in its official channels. His disguised inspections were a tool of governance, a way to gather direct intelligence and root out the "bribery and lying" he was said to hate.[5]

IV. The Sultan's Court, Death, and Burial

The Court of the Valide Sultan

When Osman III ascended the throne on December 13, 1754 [5], his mother, Şehsuvar Sultan (born c. 1682), was brought from the Old Palace to become the Valide Sultan (Empress Mother).[22] Osman, who "had not seen his mother for many years," received her with great ceremony.[22] Her tenure as Valide Sultan was brief, lasting only from December 1754 until her death on April 27, 1756.[22]
Her influence, however, was critical. She appears to have been the only person capable of moderating her son's "short-tempered" [5] and "angry" [7] nature. In 1755, when Osman III had his Grand Vizier, Hekimoğlu Ali Pasha, imprisoned in the Kız Kulesi (Maiden's Tower) with the intent to execute him, it was Şehsuvar Sultan who "persuaded her son not to execute" the pasha.[22] This "beneficent influence" [22] saved the vizier's life.[23] Her death in April 1756 may have been a political turning point, removing the last personal, familial check on Osman's increasingly erratic behavior and contributing to the rapid turnover of grand viziers that defined the rest of his reign.

Illness, Death, and the Politics of Burial

Osman III's health, which was "already poor" at his accession [5], rapidly deteriorated. He died on the night of October 30, 1757, at the age of 58, in the Topkapı Palace.[1] The cause of death

was reportedly "a boil in his body" [6] or, more specifically, "septicemia" that developed after a "lupus on his thigh was removed".[5]
His death immediately set in motion a final, posthumous political maneuver. The Nuruosmaniye Mosque complex, which he had completed in 1755 and named after himself, contained an imperial *türbe* (tomb).[10] This tomb had originally been intended for Mahmud I, but he, too, was buried elsewhere.[28] Osman's mother, Şehsuvar, *was* buried in the Nuruosmaniye tomb [5], making it the logical and expected resting place for the sultan himself. However, in the early morning ceremony following Osman's death, his cousin and successor, Mustafa III, "ordered Osman to be buried in the New Mosque Mausoleum, not in Nuruosmaniye".[1] Osman III was instead interred in the Tomb of Turhan Sultan [1], a large 17th-century dynastic mausoleum that already held five other sultans, including Osman's father, Mustafa II, and his brother, Mahmud I.[30]
This was a deliberate political act by Mustafa III. The Nuruosmaniye, or "Light of *Osman*," was Osman's signature achievement and his primary claim to a legacy. By denying him burial there, Mustafa III *disassociated* the late sultan from the monument. He effectively *erased* Osman's personal claim on the new Baroque legacy and *re-absorbed* his short, problematic reign back into the collective dynastic tomb. It was a final, posthumous nullification of Osman's brief and troubled time in power.

Part II: The Ottoman Empire, 1754–1757

V. The "Old Regime" at Peace: Foreign Policy in an Era of "Long Peace"

Sultan Osman III's reign (1754-1757) coincided with a unique period in Ottoman geopolitical history. It fell squarely within the era known as the "Old Regime" (1703–1789) [13], and more specifically within a "long hiatus" of peace that had begun with the 1739 Treaty of Belgrade.[5] This treaty, a diplomatic triumph that concluded the war with Austria and Russia, had stabilized the empire's European frontiers and allowed it to regain some of its international prestige.[34] As a result, Osman's brief rule was almost entirely "a relatively calm period... in foreign policy".[5]

The Seven Years' War (1756–1763)

The single most important global event during Osman's reign was the outbreak of the Seven Years' War in 1756. This massive conflict, which reshaped the colonial world, "involved every European great power of the time *except* the Ottoman Empire".[37] The Ottoman absence from this global war was not an accident but a *deliberate policy of neutrality*.[33]

The reasons for this neutrality were threefold, as identified by historian Virginia H. Aksan [33]:

1. **Diplomatic:** The Sublime Porte, under the influence of statesmen who would culminate in Koca Ragıp Pasha, pursued a "peace policy," preferring non-entanglement.[39]
2. **Military:** The empire suffered from a "lack of military preparedness".[33] The Janissary corps, while still a potent internal political force, was no longer the elite fighting machine of the 16th century, and the state was wary of testing it against the new, highly drilled armies of Prussia and Russia.
3. **Financial:** The state had a "loss of control over provincial finances" [33] and a profound desire to avoid the "economic disruption and possible popular unrest" that a major war would inevitably cause.[41]

The Ottomans were also the beneficiaries of the "Diplomatic Revolution of 1756," which saw their traditional Habsburg and Russian rivals turn their attention elsewhere. The rise of Prussia under Frederick the Great (whom the subsequent Sultan Mustafa III deeply admired) "forced Austria and Russia to deal with" this new northern threat.[3] The Ottoman Empire was thus kept at peace not primarily by its own strength, but by its enemies' new preoccupations.

Minor Diplomatic and Internal Engagements

The rest of the empire's foreign relations during this period were minor. The reign saw the successful conclusion of a "friendship and trade agreement... between the Ottoman Empire and the Kingdom of Denmark".[36] Peaceful commercial relationships with the Habsburg Monarchy continued to develop, built on a foundation of "free, safe and peaceful trade".[42] The only military or political disturbances were minor and swiftly handled. There were "some incidents on the Russian border," but these "did not happen" to escalate into war.[5] Internally, a "Mamluk beys revolt in Egypt" was reported and "suppressed quickly".[5] The Grand Vizier's perceived "negligence in this incident" was, however, used as a pretext for his dismissal, highlighting how Osman III prioritized internal political control over foreign affairs.[5]

VI. The Internal State: Political Instability and Urban Disaster

While the empire's borders were quiet, its capital was in chaos. Osman III's reign was defined by two major internal crises: the constant, destabilizing turnover of his government and a series of devastating natural disasters.

The "Revolving Door" of the Grand Vizierate

The defining political feature of Osman III's rule was its extreme volatility. In a reign that lasted only two years, ten months, and 17 days, the sultan "changed his grand vizier for seven

times".[5] This "revolving door" was not a sign of simple indecision but a *deliberate*, if chaotic, policy of asserting sultanic authority.

Osman III, as a product of the *Kafes*, was deeply suspicious of the powerful, entrenched bureaucracy. Under his brother Mahmud I, the Grand Vizierate had "gained a dominant position in the administration".[5] Osman's primary goal upon accession was to "diminish the overwhelming influence" of this class.[7] The frequent dismissals were his tool. He used any available pretext—"corruption, lying, negligence in fires and complaints of the people" [5]—to keep the viziers weak and off-balance. This was also a populist move, intended to "calm the negative psychology among the people" by appearing to hold the government accountable for the era's disasters.[5]

Two case studies illustrate this conflict:

1. **Hekimoğlu Ali Pasha (February 15 – May 18, 1755):** A veteran "strong personality" [5] and one of Mahmud I's most respected viziers [5], he was appointed for his third term by Osman.[23] He immediately clashed with the "short-tempered and meticulous" sultan's "interference in government affairs".[5] The conflict reached its breaking point when Osman III ordered the execution of a young prince (*şehzade*), and "Ali Pasha refused to obey" the order.[23] Osman, enraged, dismissed and jailed him, and only the Valide Sultan's direct intervention saved his life.[22]
2. **Koca Mehmed Ragıp Pasha (January 12 – October 30, 1757):** The final and most significant appointee, Koca Ragıp Pasha was a "great statesman".[5] He was the only vizier with whom Osman "felt comfortable".[5] In his brief time under Osman, he began to stabilize the state, "enacted reforms to Ottoman administration and treasury" [39], and was the architect of the "peace policy" that kept the empire out of the Seven Years' War.[39] His competence brought a halt to the political chaos, and his tenure would continue, providing much-needed stability, under the next sultan, Mustafa III.[40]

The following table documents the seven men who held the empire's highest office during Osman III's 34-month reign.

Table: The Grand Viziers of Sultan Osman III (1754–1757)

Name	Took Office	Left Office	Approx. Duration	Notes / Reason for Dismissal
Çorlulu Köse Bahir Mustafa Pasha (1st term)	13 Dec 1754	15 Feb 1755	2 months	Holdover from Mahmud I. Dismissed.
Hekimoğlu Ali Pasha (3rd term)	15 Feb 1755	18 May 1755	3 months	Clashed with Sultan over interference [5]; refused to execute a prince.[23] Jailed, then exiled.
Naili Abdullah	18 May 1755	24 Aug 1755	3 months	Dismissed for

Pasha				alleged negligence.
Silahdar Bıyıklı Ali Pasha	24 Aug 1755	25 Oct 1755	2 months	Accused of bribery.[5] Executed; Osman later regretted this punishment.[5]
Yirmisekizzade Mehmed Said Pasha	25 Oct 1755	1 Apr 1756	5 months	Dismissed.
Çorlulu Köse Bahir Mustafa Pasha (2nd term)	1 Apr 1756	12 Jan 1757	9.5 months	Re-appointed. Dismissed again.
Koca Mehmed Ragıp Pasha	12 Jan 1757	30 Oct 1757	9.5 months	Stabilized government and finances.[5] Remained in office under Mustafa III.[43]

An Empire of Calamities: Fire and Ice

The political instability was compounded by a series of severe natural disasters that struck the capital [36], feeding the "negative psychology" [5] of the populace.

- **January 1755 Freeze:** "The winter was very severe" [5], and the cold so intense that "the Golden Horn froze".[5]
- **March 1756 Storm:** A severe storm in March 1756 caused an Egyptian galleon to run ashore, with 600 passengers trapped.[7] This event prompted a rare display of personal leadership from Osman. "The sultan, who came to the shore, took all the passengers by bringing barges from the shipyard".[7] This direct experience moved him to "order the construction of the Ahırkapı Lighthouse" to prevent future maritime disasters.[7]
- **The 1756 Great Cibali Fire:** The most devastating event of the reign was the "Great Fire of Cibali".[44] Fires were a constant, dragon-like "terrible catastrophe" for Istanbul, a city of narrow streets and "densely packed wooden houses".[45] This particular fire was one of the worst, reportedly destroying "two-thirds of Istanbul".[36] It "burnt down" the original *wooden* Beyazıt Tower, the city's primary fire-watch tower built in 1749.[44] The scale of the destruction was immense, with contemporary sources listing "70 Turkish baths, 580 mills and bakes shops, 10 inns, 200 mosques and small mosques, 1000 shops and 800 dwellings" as being destroyed.[46]

Osman's reaction to the fire again reveals his populist, hands-on side. He "could not hold back

his tears when he saw those whose houses, shops and property were burned down".[47] He "personally helped them and ensured the rebuilding of the burnt buildings".[47]
This contrast—his failure at managing the abstract politics of the vizierate versus his decisive, empathetic response to the shipwreck and the fire—is telling. His *Kafes*-honed, meticulous, and direct-action personality was well-suited for tangible, immediate crises where he could take personal charge. It was, however, completely unsuited for the complex, abstract, and personnel-driven politics of the Sublime Porte.

VII. The Paradox of the Reign: Conservative Edicts and Radical Art

The central paradox of Osman III's reign lies in the profound contradiction between his personal ideology and his primary cultural-architectural legacy.

The Conservative Turn: Social Edicts

Osman III's personal piety and reactionary worldview, cultivated over 51 years of isolation, were immediately translated into social policy. His reign saw a "rising intolerance of non-muslim".[20] He issued "new regulations on Christians and Jews, commanding them to wear distinctive clothes or badges".[8]
This was a strict and sudden re-enforcement of *ghiyar* (visible distinction) [48], a traditional component of the *dhimmi* (protected non-Muslim) status under *shari'ah*.[49] This policy of mandating specific, often inferior, clothing for non-Muslims had been relaxed during the more cosmopolitan "Tulip Period" (1718–1730), a time when authorities were more concerned with Muslims *imitating* non-Muslim dress than the reverse.[51] Osman's edict was a clear act of social *conservatism*, a "return" to a perceived stricter, more traditional order.

The Radical Turn: The Nuruosmaniye ("Light of Osman")

At the very same time that Osman III was enforcing this conservative social policy, he was attaching his name to the most radical work of modern architecture in the empire's history: the Nuruosmaniye Mosque.

- **Context:** Completed in 1755 [10], the Nuruosmaniye *külliye* (complex) was the *first* imperial mosque to be built in Istanbul in over 100 years [53], since the completion of the Sultan Ahmed Mosque (Blue Mosque) in 1617.[54] It was a major dynastic statement.
- **A New Style:** The mosque is universally recognized as the "earliest" [10], "most powerful" [27], and "most authentic" [55] example of the "Ottoman Baroque" style.[11]
- **Revolutionary Features:** It represented a "complete break with the traditional rectangular form" of classical Ottoman architecture.[55] Its revolutionary,

European-influenced features [56] included:

- A "semi-elliptical" or "horse-shoe shaped polygonal courtyard," which is unique in Ottoman mosque architecture.[27]
- Explicit European Baroque and Rococo decorative elements, such as "undulating cornices, scrolls, shells, foliage, cartouches, [and] pilasters".[55]
- A radical "focus to light" [10], with 174 windows.[10] This feature inspired its name: *Nuruosmaniye*, or "The Light of Osman" [10], which also references a key verse from the Qur'an inscribed in the dome.[26]
- An "apse-like mihrab" (prayer niche) [10] and "perfectly round arches" instead of the traditional pointed Ottoman arches.[55]

The Architect: The Ultimate Paradox

The final layer of the paradox lies in the identity of the architect. The chief architect (*kalfa*) responsible for this revolutionary imperial mosque was **Simeon Kalfa**, "a non-Muslim Greek".[53]

This was the "first non-Muslim architect to be placed in charge of a major imperial Ottoman construction".[53] At the mosque's opening ceremony in 1755, Simeon and his Christian chief assistant, Kozma, were "given robes of honour by the grand vizier".[53] This public honor, bestowed upon Christian craftsmen for building a sultan's mosque, reflected a "growing status of Christian craftsmen" in the 18th-century empire.[53]

This creates a seemingly irreconcilable contradiction: how did the same sultan who, in an act of piety, forced Jews and Christians to wear "distinctive clothes or badges" [8], simultaneously *honor* a Greek Christian with robes for building his signature imperial mosque?

The paradox is not a contradiction, but a *snapshot of an empire in transition*. The Nuruosmaniye project was *inherited*. It was commissioned in 1749 by Osman's reformist, Western-leaning brother, Mahmud I.[10] Mahmud's vision was explicitly "Westernizing" [26]; he had even "had church plans brought from Europe" as inspiration.[27] Simeon Kalfa was *Mahmud I's* choice.

When Osman III ascended in 1754, the mosque was nearly finished. The reactionary Osman *could* have halted the project. He *could* have replaced the architect. He did neither. Instead, he *embraced* the project, oversaw its completion, and, most importantly, *named it after himself*: *Nuruosmaniye*.[10]

He did this because the mosque was not merely a stylistic statement; it was a political one. It was an "effort to reaffirm [dynastic] power and potency" [56] after a 100-year hiatus in imperial mosque-building.[53] In Osman's quest for *control*, he demonstrated an autocrat's pragmatism. He separated the *craftsman* from the *citizen*. He could simultaneously promote a non-Muslim *technocrat* (Simeon Kalfa) for his unique utility to the state, while *suppressing* the social status of the non-Muslim *population* at large. He did not see the Baroque style as a surrender to European culture, but as the *appropriation* of a new, powerful, "globally resonant" [60] visual

language to express *his* imperial majesty.

VIII. Conclusion: The Legacy of the "Light of Osman"

Sultan Osman III's reign was a brief, chaotic, and transitional moment. He was a ruler defined, and ultimately broken, by the 51-year trauma of the *Kafes*. His rule was a direct expression of that trauma: erratic, controlling, meticulous, and paranoid.[5]

In political terms, his reign was a failure. His deep-seated distrust of the bureaucracy led him to create chaos, cycling through seven grand viziers in less than three years.[5] He destabilized the very government he was trying to control, a situation that was only salvaged in the last months of his life by the appointment of the "great statesman" Koca Ragıp Pasha.[5] His social policies were equally reactionary, attempting to reverse decades of 18th-century social "laxity" with intolerant edicts against non-Muslims.[8] He was, in temperament and policy, a man of the past.

And yet, his *cultural* legacy, the Nuruosmaniye [10], which he co-opted and branded with his own name, was a profound and irreversible step into the future. This single complex, built by a non-Muslim architect [53], permanently altered the visual language of the empire.[12] It set the stage for the wave of Ottoman Baroque, Rococo, and Neoclassical styles that would define the dynasty's architectural identity for its final 150 years.[12]

The final assessment of Osman III is one of profound paradox. He was perhaps the last true sultan of the "Old Regime" [13], a ruler whose psychology was forged in the 17th-century system of the *Kafes*. Yet, he accidentally and pragmatically ushered in the first great monument of Ottoman modernity. His legacy, like his burial, is disconnected from his greatest achievement. He remains a minor sultan, but the "Light of Osman" [57]—the mosque he named but was not buried in—stands as one of the 18th century's most potent and enduring symbols of a new, globalized, and cross-cultural imperial age.

Works cited

1. Osman III - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Osman_III
2. The Governors of Ottoman Bilād al-Shām. The Reconstruction of Individual Biographies by Looking at Groups of Governors, accessed November 17, 2025, https://d-nb.info/1103486411/34
3. Mustafa III - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mustafa_III
4. Kafes - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kafes
5. Mighty sovereigns of Ottoman throne: Sultan Osman III | Daily Sabah, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.dailysabah.com/arts/portrait/mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne-sultan-osman-iii

6. Ottoman, accessed November 17, 2025, http://www.theottomans.org/english/family/osman3.asp
7. Osman 3 | PDF | Ottoman Empire | History - Scribd, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.scribd.com/document/778460886/Osman-3
8. Osman 3, accessed November 17, 2025, https://walter-us.net/Turkey/history/ottomans/osman_3.htm
9. Turkish Music and Prohibitions from Tanzimat to Republic - Istanbul University Press, accessed November 17, 2025, https://iupress.istanbul.edu.tr/en/journal/ilahiyatjournal/article/turk-musikisi-ve-yasaklar-1754-1952
10. Nuruosmaniye Mosque - Istanbul Tour Studio, accessed November 17, 2025, https://istanbultourstudio.com/things-to-do/nuruosmaniye-mosque
11. accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Baroque_architecture
12. Ottoman architecture - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_architecture
13. Ottoman Old Regime - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Old_Regime
14. The Ottoman Sultans Who Were Raised in Cages | Amusing Planet, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.amusingplanet.com/2019/09/the-ottoman-sultans-who-were-raised-in.html
15. The Ottoman Kafes or Princely Cages - Footnoting History, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.footnotinghistory.com/home/the-ottoman-kafes-or-the-princely-cage
16. Why Ottoman Sultans Locked Away Their Brothers - JSTOR Daily, accessed November 17, 2025, https://daily.jstor.org/why-ottoman-sultans-locked-away-their-brothers/
17. Ottoman dynasty - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_dynasty
18. HwtS 18: The Later Ottoman Succession - History with the Szilagyis, accessed November 17, 2025, https://historywiththeszilagyis.org/hwts018
19. Sultans Raised in 'Cages': Overprotection Turned to Madness | Ancient Origins, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.ancient-origins.net/history-ancient-traditions/sultan-0013392
20. Osman III of the Ottoman Empire - Mintage World, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.mintageworld.com/media/detail/10689-osman-iii-of-the-ottoman-empire/
21. (PDF) Türk Mûsikîsi ve Yasaklar (1754-1952) - ResearchGate, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/344646166_Turk_Musikisi_ve_Yasaklar_1754-1952
22. Şehsuvar Sultan - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/%C5%9Eehsuvar_Sultan

23. Hekimoğlu Ali Pasha - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hekimo%C4%9Flu_Ali_Pasha
24. HEKİMOĞLU ALİ PAŞA - TDV İslâm Ansiklopedisi, accessed November 17, 2025, https://islamansiklopedisi.org.tr/hekimoglu-ali-pasa
25. Ottoman Emperor Osman III died today | Mintage World, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.mintageworld.com/media/detail/13092-ottoman-emperor-osman-iii-died-today/
26. Archnet > Site > Nuruosmaniye Külliyesi, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.archnet.org/sites/2011
27. Nuruosmaniye Mosque - Discover Islamic Art - Virtual Museum, accessed November 17, 2025, https://islamicart.museumwnf.org/database_item.php?id=monument;ISL;tr;Mon01;35;en&pageT=N
28. THE TOMBS OF ISTANBUL | History of Istanbul, accessed November 17, 2025, https://istanbultarihi.ist/710-the-tombs-of-istanbul
29. List of burial places of Ottoman sultans - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_burial_places_of_Ottoman_sultans
30. Hatice Turhan Valide Sultan Tomb | Istanbul, Türkiye | Attractions - Lonely Planet, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.lonelyplanet.com/turkey/istanbul/bazaar-district/attractions/hatice-turhan-valide-sultan-tomb/a/poi-sig/1631399/1324697
31. Tomb of Turhan Hatice Sultan (Monument, memorial) - Mapy.com, accessed November 17, 2025, https://mapy.com/en/?source=osm&id=40389902
32. Yeni Mosque - Istanbul - My Forever Travel, accessed November 17, 2025, https://myforevertravel.com/yeni-mosque-istanbul/
33. The Ottoman Absence from the Battlefields of the Seven Years' War ..., accessed November 17, 2025, https://securityhistorynetwork.com/2012/11/09/chapter-aksan-ottoman-absence-seven-years-war/
34. Ottoman Empire - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ottoman_Empire
35. the 1736-39 war with russia and austria: a study in diplomacy and changing perceptions of the ottoman empire - Digital Archive, accessed November 17, 2025, https://digitalarchive.library.bogazici.edu.tr/bitstreams/df12b57f-a064-49b0-8900-b8ae12d896ad/download
36. Sultan Osman III | All About Turkey, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.allaboutturkey.com/osman3.html
37. accessed November 17, 2025, https://historyguild.org/the-seven-years-war/#:~:text=The%20Seven%20Years'%20War%20was%20a%20world%20war%20fought%20between,%2C%20India%2C%20and%20the%20Philippines.
38. The Seven Years' War - History Guild, accessed November 17, 2025, https://historyguild.org/the-seven-years-war/

39. Koca Ragıp Pasha, accessed November 17, 2025, https://wikipedia.nucleos.com/viewer/wikipedia_en_all_maxi_2024-01/A/Koca_Rag%C4%B1p_Pasha
40. MIGHTY SOVEREIGNS of OTTOMAN THRONE: SULTAN MUSTAFA III - Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1239&mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne:-sultan-mustafa-iii
41. 104 - The Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth - Century - The Atatürk Institute for Modern Turkish History, accessed November 17, 2025, https://ata.bogazici.edu.tr/sites/ata.boun.edu.tr/files/faculty/sevket.pamuk/2000-eighteenth_century.pdf
42. A Cross-Cultural Regional Integration: Austria and the Ottoman Empire in the Eighteenth Century | CEU Events, accessed November 17, 2025, https://events.ceu.edu/2016-02-24/cross-cultural-regional-integration-austria-and-ottoman-empire-eighteenth-century
43. Koca Ragıp Pasha - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Koca_Rag%C4%B1p_Pasha
44. Beyazıt Tower - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Beyaz%C4%B1t_Tower
45. Fires in Ottoman Istanbul: Mapping Transformations in the Social Fabric, accessed November 17, 2025, https://mellonurbanism.harvard.edu/fires-ottoman-istanbul-mapping-transformations-social-fabric
46. Fires in Istanbul, accessed November 17, 2025, https://itfaiye.ibb.gov.tr/en/fires-in-istanbul.html
47. MİGHTY SOVEREİGNS OF OTTOMAN THRONE: SULTAN OSMAN III - Ekrem Buğra Ekinci, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.ekrembugraekinci.com/article/?ID=1237&mighty-sovereigns-of-ottoman-throne:-sultan-osman-iii
48. Women, Minorities and the Changing Politics of Dress in the Ottoman Empire, 1650–1830, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.cambridge.org/core/books/right-to-dress/women-minorities-and-the-changing-politics-of-dress-in-the-ottoman-empire-16501830/3BC7E5A54EAE3479955E0CEEF7A2D3D5
49. Dhimmi - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Dhimmi
50. The Status of Dhimmis in the Ottoman Empire - The Fountain Magazine, accessed November 17, 2025, https://fountainmagazine.com/all-issues/2002/issue-40-october-december-2002/the-status-of-dhimmis-in-the-ottoman-empire
51. Full article: Stitching Tradition and Fashion, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/03612112.2024.2419754
52. CLOTHING AND FASHION IN ISTANBUL (1453–1923) | History of Istanbul, accessed November 17, 2025, https://istanbultarihi.ist/485-clothing-and-fashion-in-istanbul-14531923

53. Nuruosmaniye Mosque - Wikipedia, accessed November 17, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Nuruosmaniye_Mosque
54. FMF: The Nuruosmaniye Mosque and Ottoman Baroque Architecture - Reddit, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ottomans/comments/1m901vr/fmf_the_nuruosmaniye_mosque_and_ottoman_baroque/
55. Nuruosmaniye Complex - UNESCO World Heritage Centre, accessed November 17, 2025, https://whc.unesco.org/en/tentativelists/6122/
56. QUESTIONING AN "ICON OF CHANGE": THE NURUOSMANİYE ..., accessed November 17, 2025, https://jfa.arch.metu.edu.tr/uploads/docs/sayilar/sayi-28-2/145-166.pdf
57. Nuruosmaniye Mosque - IslamicLandmarks.com, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.islamiclandmarks.com/turkey/nuruosmaniye-mosque
58. FMF: The Nuruosmaniye Mosque's Architect (pt. 2) : r/ottomans - Reddit, accessed November 17, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ottomans/comments/1mexcir/fmf_the_nuruosmaniye_mosques_architect_pt_2/
59. Architectural styles and Greek-Orthodox architects in Constantinople - Μεγάλη διαδικτυακή εγκυκλοπαίδεια της Κωνσταντινούπολης, accessed November 17, 2025, http://constantinople.ehw.gr/forms/fLemmaBodyExtended.aspx?lemmaID=11354
60. The Nuruosmaniye Mosque and the Ottoman Baroque – Khamseen, accessed November 17, 2025, https://sites.lsa.umich.edu/khamseen/topics/2020/the-nuruosmaniye-mosque-and-the-ottoman-baroque/